NOAA FORM 56-6A (Rev07-07)	stration		ISSIONED CORPS ATION REPORT (OER)	IC	O No: VALIDATION:					
ADMINISTRATIVE DATA     A. LAST NAME, FIRST NAME, MIDD	DLE IN	IITIAL		b. G	RAD	DE c. DATE OF RANK d.	. DATE RI	FPORT	FD	
						G. B. TIE OF TOWN G.	. 5, 1, 2, 1, 1	Li Oiti		
e. UNIT (Name and Location)						f. DAYS NOT OBSERVED g.	DATE SU	JBMITT	ΓED	
h. PERIOD OF REPORT		i. OCCASION FOR RE	EPOR	RT (Check only one. If you check "Exce	ptior	n Report" go to section j) j. EXC	CEPTION	REPOI	RT	
From: To		Annual/Semia Promotion	annu	Detachment/Change of  Detachment of Officer	_		Special Concurr	ent		
2. DESCRIPTION OF DUTIES:						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
a. PLANNING AND PREPAREDNESS: Ability to anticipate, determine goals,	asures	s an officer's ability to manage and acc Got caught by the unexpected; appeared to be controlled by events. Set vague or unrealistic goals. Used unreasonable criteria	comp	Consistently prepared. Set high but realistic goals. Used sound criteria to set priorities and deadlines. Used quality tools and processes to	5	Exceptional preparation. Alway: looked beyond immediate even problems. Skillfully balanced competing demands. Develope	nts or ed	7		
identify relevant information, set priorities and deadlines, and develop strategies.		to set priorities and deadlines. Rarely had plan of action. Failed to focus on relevant information.		develop action plans. Identified key information. Kept supervisors and stake-holders informed.		strategies with contingency plant Assessed all aspects of probler including underlying issues and impact.	ans. ems,	N	NO	
b. USING RESOURCES:	$\frac{10}{10}$	$\bigcirc$	$ (\ ) $						<u> </u>	
D. USING RESOURCES.	1	One and advantaged and constructions		Cff-eti-et-e	0			0	0	
information, money, and people (i.e. all NOAA components as well as	1	Concentrated on unproductive activities or often overlooked critical demands. Failed to use people productively. Did not follow up. Mismanaged information, money or time. Used ineffective tools or left other personnel without means to accomplish tasks. Employed wasteful methods.	3	Effectively managed a variety of activities with available resources. Delegated, empowered, and followed up. Skilled time manager, budgeted own and others' time productively. Ensured others had adequate tools, materials, time and direction. Cost conscious, sought ways to cut waste.	5	Unusually skilled at bringing scaresources to bear on the most of competing demands. Optimiz productivity through effective delegation, empowerment, and follow-up control. Found ways to systematically reduce cost, elim waste, and improve efficiency.	critical ized to to minate	7	NO	
information, money, and people (i.e. all NOAA components as well as		activities or often overlooked critical demands. Failed to use people productively. Did not follow up. Mismanaged information, money or time. Used ineffective tools or left other personnel without means to accomplish tasks. Employed	3	activities with available resources. Delegated, empowered, and followed up. Skilled time manager, budgeted own and others' time productively. Ensured others had adequate tools, materials, time and direction. Cost conscious, sought	5	resources to bear on the most of competing demands. Optimiz productivity through effective delegation, empowerment, and follow-up control. Found ways to systematically reduce cost, elim	critical ized to to minate		0	
Ability to manage time, materials, information, money, and people (i.e. all NOAA components as well as external entities).  c. RESULTS/EFFECTIVENESS: Quality, quantity, timeliness and impact of work.	1	activities or often overlooked critical demands. Failed to use people productively. Did not follow up. Mismanaged information, money or time. Used ineffective tools or left other personnel without means to accomplish tasks. Employed	3	activities with available resources. Delegated, empowered, and followed up. Skilled time manager, budgeted own and others' time productively. Ensured others had adequate tools, materials, time and direction. Cost conscious, sought	5	resources to bear on the most of competing demands. Optimiz productivity through effective delegation, empowerment, and follow-up control. Found ways to systematically reduce cost, elim	critical zed d to ninate	7	0	
information, money, and people (i.e. all NOAA components as well as external entities).  c. RESULTS/EFFECTIVENESS: Quality, quantity, timeliness and	0	activities or often overlooked critical demands. Failed to use people productively. Did not follow up. Mismanaged information, money or time. Used ineffective tools or left other personnel without means to accomplish tasks. Employed wasteful methods.  Routine tasks accomplished with difficulty. Results often late or of poor quality. Work had a negative impact on department or unit. Maintained the status quo despite	0	activities with available resources. Delegated, empowered, and followed up. Skilled time manager, budgeted own and others' time productively. Ensured others had adequate tools, materials, time and direction. Cost conscious, sought ways to cut waste.  Got the job done in all routine situations and in many unusual ones. Work was timely and of high quality, required same of others. Results had a positive impact on department or unit. Continuously improved services and	5	resources to bear on the most of competing demands. Optimiz productivity through effective delegation, empowerment, and follow-up control. Found ways to systematically reduce cost, elim waste, and improve efficiency.  Maintained optimal balance amquality, quantity, and timeliness work. Quality of work surpassed expectations. Results had a significant positive impact on ur NOAA. Established clearly effect	critical zed d to ninate	7	NO (	
information, money, and people (i.e. all NOAA components as well as external entities).  c. RESULTS/EFFECTIVENESS:  Quality, quantity, timeliness and	0	activities or often overlooked critical demands. Failed to use people productively. Did not follow up. Mismanaged information, money or time. Used ineffective tools or left other personnel without means to accomplish tasks. Employed wasteful methods.  Routine tasks accomplished with difficulty. Results often late or of poor quality. Work had a negative impact on department or unit. Maintained the status quo despite	0	activities with available resources. Delegated, empowered, and followed up. Skilled time manager, budgeted own and others' time productively. Ensured others had adequate tools, materials, time and direction. Cost conscious, sought ways to cut waste.  Got the job done in all routine situations and in many unusual ones. Work was timely and of high quality, required same of others. Results had a positive impact on department or unit. Continuously improved services and	5	resources to bear on the most of competing demands. Optimiz productivity through effective delegation, empowerment, and follow-up control. Found ways to systematically reduce cost, elim waste, and improve efficiency.  Maintained optimal balance amquality, quantity, and timeliness work. Quality of work surpassed expectations. Results had a significant positive impact on ur NOAA. Established clearly effect	critical zed d to ninate  nong s of ed init or extive ement.	7	NO (	
information, money, and people (i.e. all NOAA components as well as external entities).  c. RESULTS/EFFECTIVENESS: Quality, quantity, timeliness and impact of work.  d. ADAPTABILITY: Ability to modify work methods and priorities in response to new information, changing conditions, or		activities or often overlooked critical demands. Failed to use people productively. Did not follow up. Mismanaged information, money or time. Used ineffective tools or left other personnel without means to accomplish tasks. Employed wasteful methods.  Routine tasks accomplished with difficulty. Results often late or of poor quality. Work had a negative impact on department or unit. Maintained the status quo despite opportunities to improve.  Unable to gauge effectiveness of work or make adjustments when needed. Overlooked or screened out new information. Overreacted or responded slowly to change in direction or environment. Ineffective in ambiguous, complex,	3	activities with available resources. Delegated, empowered, and followed up. Skilled time manager, budgeted own and others' time productively. Ensured others had adequate tools, materials, time and direction. Cost conscious, sought ways to cut waste.  Got the job done in all routine situations and in many unusual ones. Work was timely and of high quality, required same of others. Results had a positive impact on department or unit. Continuously improved services and organizational effectiveness.  Receptive to change, new information, and technology. Effectively used benchmarks to improve performance and service. Monitored progress and changed course as required. Effectively dealt with pressure and ambiguity.	5	resources to bear on the most of competing demands. Optimiz productivity through effective delegation, empowerment, and follow-up control. Found ways to systematically reduce cost, elim waste, and improve efficiency.  Maintained optimal balance amquality, quantity, and timeliness work. Quality of work surpassed expectations. Results had a significant positive impact on ur NOAA. Established clearly effect systems of continuous improver information and technology. Ver skilled at using and responding measurement indicators. Championed organizational improvements. Effectively dealt extremely complex situations. Turned pressure and ambiguity	critical zed d to ninate  nong s of ed init or extive ement.	7	NO O	

Page 2 of NOAA Form 56-6A	(07-07)	)								
e. PROFESSIONAL COMPE Ability to acquire, apply and technical and administrative knowledge and skills associa with description of duties. (Ir operational aspects such as safety, seamanship, airmans etc., as appropriate.)	share ated icludes marine		Questionable competence ar credibility. Operational or spe expertise inadequate or lacki key areas. Made little effort to grow professionally. Used knowledge as power against others or bluffed rather than acknowledging ignorance. Effectiveness reduced due to imited knowledge of own organizational role and custo needs.	ecialty ng in o	3	Competent and credible authority on specialty or operational needs. Acquired and applied excellent operational or specialty expertise for assigned duties. Showed professional growth through education, training and professionareading. Shared knowledge and information with others. Understood own organizational rol and customer needs.	al	Superior expertise; advice and actions showed great breadth and depth of knowledge. Remarkable grasp of complex issues, concepts, and situations. Rapidly developed professional growth beyond expectations. Vigorously conveyed knowledge, directly resulting in increased workplace productivity. Insightful knowledge of own role, customer needs, and value of work.	7	NO
			$\bigcirc$		0		(			$\bigcirc$
PERFORMANCE OF DUTIES	S COM	IMENTS:								
4. COMMUNICATION SKILLS: a. SPEAKING AND			cer's ability to communicate i			, clear, and convincing manner.		Clearly articulated and promoted ideas		
LISTENING: Ability to speak effectively and listen to understand.	1 a	and facts; I confidence nappropria Nervous or detracted fi	acked preparation, , or logic. Used te language or rambled. distracting mannerisms rom message. Failed to ully or was too		in ind verba mess all lev Lister	ividual and group situations; non- il actions consistent with spoken age. Communicated to people at vels to ensure understanding. led carefully for intended age as well as spoken words.	5	before a wide range of audiences; accomplished speaker in both formal and extemporaneous situations. Adept at presenting complex or sensitive issues. Active listener; remarkable ability to listen with open mind and identify key issues.	7	NO
	0		$\bigcirc$			$\circ$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	0	0
b. WRITING: Ability to express facts and ideas clearly and convincingly.	p c g	verbose, or proofread. correspond grammatica vrong audi	terial frequently unclear, poorly organized. Seldom Often submitted ence which was ally incorrect, tailored to ence, or delivered by an te medium.	3	logica consc grami audie	en material clear, concise, and illy organized. Proofread cientiously. Correspondence matically correct, tailored to nce, and delivered by an priate medium.	5	Clearly and persuasively expressed complex or controversial material, directly contributing to stated objectives. Written or published material brought credit to NOAA. Actively educated others in effective writing.	7	NO
			$\bigcirc$			$\bigcirc$		$\bigcirc$	0	$\circ$
a. LOOKING OUT FOR	asures	s an officer Seldom rec	ognized or responded to	3	Careo	influence others in performing work. If for people. Recognized and	5	Always accessible. Enhanced overall	7	
Ability to consider and respond to personal needs, capabilities, and achievements of others; support for and application of work-life concepts and skills.	n n o fa	needs of per esources uneed. Ignor capabilities ailure. Selo	sople; left outside intapped despite apparent ance of individuals' increased chance of dom recognized or eserving personnel.		respo outsic Consi maxir Consi	nded to their needs; referred to le resources as appropriate. dered individuals capabilities to nize opportunities for success. stently recognized and rewarded ving personnel.	5	quality of life. Actively contributed to achieving balance among unit requirements, and between professional and personal responsibilities. Strong advocate for others; ensured appropriate and timely recognition, both formal and informal.	/	NO
-	$\bigcirc$		$\bigcirc$			$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$

Page 3 of NOAA Form 56-6A (	07-07	·)						
b. DEVELOPING PEOPLE: Ability to use coaching, counseling, and training to provide opportunities for the professional development of other personnel.	1	Unreasonably restricted opportunities for professional growth; kept others in narrow roles and discouraged the level of risk-taking necessary for learning. Lack of timely feedback left others guessing.	3	Supported and provided opportunities for professional growth. Encouraged others to expand their roles, handle important tasks and learn by doing. Allowed the appropriate level of risktaking necessary for learning and mission accomplishment. Provided timely praise and constructive feedback.	5	Created challenging situations which optimized professional development and maximized opportunity for success. Guided, coached, and encouraged others to reach new levels of performance. Adeptly counseled others; identified professional potential, strengths and areas for improvement.	7	NO
		$\bigcirc$	C			$\circ$		
c. DIRECTING PEOPLE: Ability to influence or direct people in accomplishing tasks or missions.	1	Showed difficulty in directing or influencing others. Low or unclear work standards reduced productivity. Failed to hold others accountable for shoddy work or irresponsible actions. Unwilling to delegate authority to increase efficiency of task accomplishment.	3	A leader who earned other's support and commitment. Set high work standards; clearly articulated job requirements, expectations and measurement criteria; held others accountable. When appropriate, delegated authority to those directly responsible for the task.	5	An inspirational leader who motivated others to achieve results not normally attainable. Won people over rather than imposing will. Clearly articulated vision; empowered others to set goals and objectives to accomplish tasks. Modified leadership styles to best meet challenging situations.	7	NO
		$\bigcirc$	C					
d. TEAMWORK:  Ability to manage, lead and participate in teams, encourage cooperation, and develop esprit de corps.	1	Used teams ineffectively or at wrong times. Conflicts mismanaged or often left unresolved, resulting in decreased team effectiveness. Excluded team members from vital information. Stifled group discussions or did not contribute productively. Inhibited cross functional cooperation to the detriment of unit or service goals.	3	Skillfully used teams to increase unit effectiveness, quality, and service. Resolved or managed group conflict, enhanced cooperation, and involved team members in decision process. Valued team members. Effectively negotiated work across functional boundaries to enhance support of broader mutual goals.	5	Insightful use of teams raised unit productivity beyond expectations. Inspired high level of esprit de corps, even in difficult situations. Major contributor to team effort. Established relationships and networks across abroad range of people and groups, raising accomplishments of mutual goals to a remarkable level.	7	NO
		$\circ$						
e. WORK PLACE CLIMATE: Ability to value individual differences and promote an environment of involvement, innovation, open communication and respect.	1	Intolerant of individual differences, exhibited discriminatory tendencies toward others. Tolerated or contributed to an uncomfortable or degrading environment. Failed to take responsibility for own words and actions and their impact on others. Failed to support or enforce NOAA & NOAA Corps human	3	Sensitive to individual differences. Encouraged open communication and respect. Promoted an environment which values fairness, dignity, creativity, and diverse perspectives. Took responsibility for own words and actions and their impact on others. Fully supported and enforced NOAA & NOAA Corps human resources	5	Excelled at creating an environment of fairness, candor, and respect among individuals of diverse backgrounds and positions. Optimized use of different perspectives and opinions. Quickly took action against behavior inconsistent with NOAA & NOAA Corps human resources policies, or which detracted from mission	7	NO
		resources policies.	C	policies.		accomplishment.		
f. EVALUATIONS:  The extent to which an officer, as Reported-on Officer and rater, conducted or required others to conduct accurate, timely evaluations for civilian and officer personnel.	1	Reports were frequently late. Narratives inaccurate or of poor quality. Failed to uphold service performance standards by assigning accurate marks. Reports required revision or intervention by others. Failed to meet own OES responsibilities as Reported-on Officer.	3	Reports consistently submitted on time. Narratives were fair, concise, and contained specific observations of action and impact. Assigned marks against standards. Few reports, if any, returned for revision. Met own OES responsibilities as Reported-on Officer.	5	No reports submitted late. Narratives were insightful, of the highest quality, and always supported assigned marks. No report returned for revision. Returned reports to others when appropriate.	7	NO
porosi.ii.e.ii		$\bigcirc$	C					
LEADERSHIP SKILLS COMM								
6. SUPERVISOR AUTHENTI	CATI							
a. NAME AND SIGNATURE		b. GRADE	С	. TITLE OF POSITION		d. D <i>i</i>	ATE	
		l l						

8. PERSONAL AND PROF a. INITIATIVE:  Ability to originate and act on new ideas, pursue opportunities to learn and develop, and seek responsibility without guidance and supervision.	ESS 1	IONAL QUALITIES: Measures selected Postponed needed action. Implemented or supported improvements only when directed to do so. Showed little interest in career development. Feasible improvements in methods, services, or products went unexplored	qualiti	ies which illustrate the individual's charact Championed improvement through new ideas, methods, and practices; self-starter. Anticipated problems and took prompt action to avoid or resolve them. Sought opportunities for own career development. Pursued productivity gains and enhanced mission performance by applying new ideas and methods.	5 5	Aggressively sought out additional responsibility. A self-learner. Made worthwhile ideas and practices work when others might have given up. Extremely innovative. Optimized use of new ideas and methods to improve work processes, decision-making, and service delivery	7	NO
	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$		$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$
b. JUDGMENT: Ability to make sound decisions and provide valid recommendations by using facts, experience, common sense, and analytical thought.	1	Decisions often displayed poor analysis. Failed to make necessary decisions, or jumped to conclusions without considering facts, alternatives, and impact. Did not effectively weigh risk, cost, and time considerations.	3	Demonstrated analytical thought and common sense in making decisions. Used facts, data, and experience, and considered the impact of alternatives. Weighed risk, cost and time considerations. Made sound decisions promptly with the best available information.	5	Combined keen analytical thought and insight to make appropriate decisions. Focused on the key issues and the most relevant information, even in complex situations. Did the right thing at the right time. Actions indicated awareness of impact and implications of decisions on others.	7	NO
anought.	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$  \bigcirc $	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$		$\cup$
c. RESPONSIBILITY: Ability to act ethically, courageously, and dependably and inspire the same in others; accountability for own and others' actions.	1	Actions demonstrated questionable ethics or lack of commitment. Tolerated indifference or failed to hold others accountable. Allowed organization to absorb personnel problems rather than confronting them as required. Tended not to speak up or get involved. Provided minimal support for decisions counter to own ideas.	3	Held self and others personally and professionally accountable. Spoke up when necessary, even when expressing unpopular positions. Supported organizational policies and decisions which may have been counter to own ideas. Committed to the successful achievement of organizational goals.	5	Integrity and ethics beyond reproach. Always held self and others to highest standards of personal and professional accountability. Did the right thing even when it was difficult. Succeeded in making even unpopular policies or decisions work. Actions demonstrated unwavering commitment to achievement of organizational goals.	7	NO
	0	$\bigcirc$						
d. PROFESSIONAL PRESENCE:  Ability to bring credit to the NOAA through one's actions, competence, demeanor, and appearance.	1	Unaware of general NOAA objectives; uncooperative or biased in interactions. Lost composure in difficult situations. Conveyed poor image of self and NOAA. Ignorant of or sloppy with common military courtesies. Uniform appearance and grooming below standard.	3	Knowledgeable in how NOAA objectives serve the public; cooperative and fair in all interactions. Composed in difficult situations. Conveyed positive image of self and NOAA. Well versed in military etiquette; precise in rendering and upholding military courtesies. Great care in uniform appearance and grooming.	5	Always self-assured, projected ideal NOAA image. Poised in response to others' provocative actions. Contributed leadership role in civilian/ military community. Exemplified finest traditions of military customs and protocol. Meticulous uniform appearance and grooming; inspired similar standards in others.	7	NO
	0	$\bigcirc$	0	$\bigcirc$	0	0	0	0
e. HEALTH AND WELL BEING: Ability to invest in NOAA's future by caring for the physical health and emotional well-being of self and others.	1	Failed to meet minimum standards of weight control or sobriety. Tolerated or condoned others' alcohol abuse. Seldom considered others' health and well-being. Unwilling or unable to recognize and manage stress despite apparent need.	3	Maintained weight standards. Committed to health and well-being of self and others. Enhanced personal performance through activities supporting physical and emotional well-being. Recognized and managed stress effectively.	5	Remarkable vitality, enthusiasm, alertness and energy. Consistently contributed at high levels. Optimized personal performance through involvement in activities which supported physical and emotional well-being. Monitored and helped others deal with stress, enhance health and well-being.	7	NO
	0	$\bigcirc$			$\bigcirc$			
PERSONAL AND PROFES	SIO	NAL QUALITIES COMMENTS:						

Page 5 of NOAA Form 56														
					n others of the same grade v									
Unsatisfacto	ory	A qualified	d officer One of the many competent professionals who form the majority of this grade.  An exceptional officer					A distinguished officer						
1		2		3	4	5		6		7				
$\bigcirc$		$\bigcirc$		$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$		$\bigcirc$		$\bigcirc$				
O. D. COMPARISON SC	ALE (EOD CDA	DES 03 to 05):	Compare this	officer with	h others of the same grade v	whom you have k	NOWE IN V	YOUR OOFGOT						
Performance unsatisfactory for grade or billet.	e Marginal performer; limited for potential.		Fair per recomme increa	former; inded for ased	Good performer; give tough, challenging assignments.	Excellent performer; give toughest most challenging leadership assignments.		Strongly recommended for accelerated promotion.		Best officer of this grade.				
1	2	2		2		2			4	5			6	7
$\circ$	$\circ$			)				(	C	$\bigcirc$				
9.c. RATING SCALE. C Performance unsatisfactory for a captain. Not suitable for most captain billets.	tisfactory for a in. Not suitable most captain		ormation in this report, I r A steady, reliable performer. Capable of handling a variety of captain assignments.		ate this Captain:  A good, solid captain. Skilled in management and leadership. Respected for views and ability to contribute to NOAA and its work.	Has flag potential. Should be given challenging assignments and consideration with peers.		Recommended for fla selection at a future board.		Recommended for flag selection at next board.				
1	2	2	3		4	5			6	7				
$\circ$					$\circ$			(	$\circ$	$\bigcirc$				
10. POTENTIAL: Desc	ribe ability to ass	sume areater lea	adership roles	and respon	nsibilities (e.g., command, sp	pecial assignment	promoti	on, and speci	al skills).					
I recommend RI	ETENTION in	or SEPAF	RATION from	the NOA	AA Corps									
11. REPORTING OFF	ICER AUTHENT	TICATION								(YYYY/MM/DD)				
a. NAME AND SIGNAT	TURE		b. GRADE	c. TIT	TLE OF POSITION				d.	DATE				
12. REPORTED-ON (	OFFICER AUTH	ENTICATION	<u>'</u>							(YYYY/MM/DD)				
a. NAME AND SIGNAT	URE		b. GRADE	c. TIT	TLE OF POSITION				d.	DATE				
13. REVIEWER AUTH										(YYYY/MM/DD)				
a. NAME AND SIGNAT	URE		b. GRADE	c. TI	TLE OF POSITION				d.	DATE				

## PURPOSE: The Officer Evaluation Report (OER) primarily provides GUIDING INSTRUCTION: NOAA Corps Personnel Manual (Chapter 10) contain all official guidance on OES requirements. information for officer corps promotion, selection, and assignment determinations. Secondary purposes include: (1) prescribing common RESPONSIBILITIES: All NOAA Corps officers and raters of NOAA Corps standards of expected performance; (2) reinforcing NOAA values; and (3) officers should be aware of their OES responsibilities as outlined in the acting as one means of performance feedback for the Reported-on Officer. NOAA Corps Personnel Manual (Chapter 10). SUBMISSION SCHEDULE: PREPARATION CHECKLIST(OPTIONAL): **Active Duty** Grade Administrative Data and Description of Duties (Sections 1 and 2): Captain 31 October Commander 31 October All fields completed (enter dates in YYYY/MM/DD format; enter only Lieutenant Commander 30 November one occasion for report. Lieutenant 30 November 31 Jan/31 July (Officers > 3 Years only Lieutenant (Junior Grade) Primary duty underlined or capitalized (no other text enhancements. July 31) such as underlining, bolding, or all capital letters, are allowed throughout the OER). Ensign 31 Jan/31 July (Officers > 3 Years only July 31) Attachments listed (only personal award citations, punitive letters, or Notes: letter reports for senior service school allowed). An OER period may be extended for up to 92 days (semiannual) under certain conditions. Officers assigned to Duty Under Instruction(DUINS) follow Performance Evaluation (Sections 3-5 and 7-8) an annual/semiannual schedule according to school terms. TIMELINE: Marks assigned according to standards which most closely describe Reported-on Officer's performance during the period. 21 days before end of period: Reported-on Officer submits to Supervisor a list of significant Specific examples cited for each mark which deviated from "4". When accomplishments during the period, supporting documents (as applicable, comments on seamanship or airmanship ability are distinct. required), administrative data required for OER Section 1, and a completed OER page 6. Comparison or Rating Scale and Potential (Sections 9 and 10): 10 days after the period: Supervisor sections of OER due to Reporting Officer. Section 9 mark assigned according to the instructive clause on the form. 30 days after the period: Supervisor and Reporting Officer sections due to Reviewer. Section 10 comments. Describe Reported-on Officer's overall potential Reviewer sends completed OER to OER Administrator. for greater responsibility (include, as appropriate, recommendations for promotion, special assignment, and command). 45 days after the period: OER due to CPC for review and entry into official record. **RESTRICTIONS:** TIPS FOR EFFECTIVE COMMENTS: Raters shall not mention a Reported-on Officer's: 1. Be specific. (1) First name; (2) Non-selection for promotion, including allusions thereto; Record appeals; Concisely describe the performance by relating the action observed and its impact; quantify the action whenever possible and explain why it was (3) Psychological or medical conditions; important; avoid empty superlatives. Do not repeat the dimensions. (4) Marital or family status (including pregnancy); or 2. Save space. (5) Performance observed outside the reporting period. Use information bullets; reduce the use of pronouns; use member's name sparingly, if at all; use action verbs and semicolons; and avoid excess Raters also shall not: words. Acronyms and abbreviations are effective only if they are common (1) Expressly evaluate or place emphasis on gender, religion, color, race, or to all NOAA communities or are initially defined in the comments. ethnic background (applies to both member and third parties); 3. Be clear. (2) Refer to any third party by name; or Don't lose the meaning; watch for cryptic comments. (3) Include information which is subject to a security classification. 15. OER Administrator Review: 14. Return Address. (Name and address to which a copy is sent after filing a. Initials: b. Date: the original in the officer's record.) PRIVACY ACT STATEMENT This information is requested to determine an officer's suitability for promotion or job assignment. Submitting this information is mandatory.

Failure to provide it could adversely affect promotion opportunities and job

assignments or lead to disciplinary action.

INSTRUCTIONS